

Created At	Location	What comments would you like to share with the Town of Beaumont as we consider any further planning work regarding a recreation facility?
9/3/2015 10:18	Alberta	please go with the field house. more groups and individuals can utilize it
9/3/2015 10:20	British Columbia	Well Done. This Study looked at everything. A couple things I would like to point out: 1. It is easy to say you will use a facility but when it comes to arenas in Beaumont we already have two. If a third was built I suspect there would be a preference for using the newest arena so this kind of creates a high usage promise from respondents. The high number who would use the indoor facility comes from the fact that we don't have any. That motivator means more to me. 2. I feel it is in the best interest of all residents that our sports facilities support a variety of options and not predominately favour one sport over others. They are community facilities payed for by all residents and our tax dollars at this point should illustrate support for diverse sport options. 3. It is much cheaper to enroll your children in field sports than it is in hockey. More youth can therefore be encouraged to live healthy productive lifestyles.
9/4/2015 14:10		(Open house comment) I think what would be best is going with the ice rink because there's already so many kids in hockey with not enough time to practice. I also think that 200 seats is a bit much and maybe with less seats we could maybe use that money to put a gym with weights and all that jazz. But it would have to be useful for ages 13-80
9/4/2015 14:12		(Open house comments) Focus on annexation and acquire more land to allow th etown to build a multi-plex. This way we can have 2 additional
9/4/2015 14:12		(Open house comments) Don't be cheap. Find a way for the community to
9/4/2015 14:13		(Open house comment) I am not in favour of building another additional
9/4/2015 14:14		(Open house comment) I would agree to supporting the build og a new indoor field facility. For starters there is alread an arena. Second thing is the
9/4/2015 14:16		(Open house comment) Focus your time and energy on developing a multi-use facility that incorporates both an arena and indoor field facility as well
9/4/2015 14:18		(Open house comment) Field house because children are playing soccer elsewhere. Then arena when [fieldhouse] is built, practice arena with few amenities.

9/4/2015 14:22		(open house comment) It's frustrating that it seems our town council is narrow minded with our fitness facilities. Instead of making residents choose between an arena or a field house, why not consider a multi-use facility that incorporates both. If the land is available near the arena does not accommodate both venues, then look at some land available that can be used for both. Include it into the annexation plan and build it next to the new public works facility, or elsewhere. While this may result in initial higher costs and overhead please plan for this and additional facilities down the road.
9/4/2015 14:24		(open house comment) I would hate to see another arena built in town before we have an indoor field facility. The indoor fields would serve a wider variety of people (runners, basketball players, soccer players). The reason that our arenas are so busy is that the teams are playing more often than is medically recommended. Until mid-teens, kids should never play/practice a sport more often than twice a week. Constant tournaments are not necessary. It would be nice to see other user groups served in this town.

9/4/2015 14:25	Alberta	<p>I completed two surveys on this study - one as an individual and one as part of a group here in Beaumont. I have reviewed the study that was completed and attended Fall Awareness last night to talk to people about this. Based on the information I've been hearing over the last few years (Ice and Community group meetings) I am quite concerned that this study was a waste of time. The focus seems to be entirely on either having an arena or indoor fields and nothing else. Why does it have to be one or the other? What about the other groups/functions/events that were brought up? As stated I believe this study was a waste of time by council. This town has almost doubled in size in the 8 years since we've lived here. Yes we are close to the city and Leduc but those communities are growing as well and attempts to get ice time or field times are very difficult. Organizations in town are struggling as infrastructure is not keeping up. I believe this Council needs to scrap the the study done and actually look at a new location and build a proper recreation centre that has ice, indoor fields, etc. Smaller communities than Beaumont have them and they are successful and are used. The current rinks are falling apart and need to be replaced. That means hockey, skating, ringette will lose their ice times and we are struggling as it is. Indoor programs will lose the use of the rinks as well as the repairs will go into their seasons.....Please take this study off and actually look at something that this town needs - a proper recreation centre. Let's find the proper space and let's build something that we can be proud of.</p>
9/4/2015 14:27		<p>(Open house comment) Indoor field facility is my vote. It has so many more connections to the existing pool infrastructure. The running track combined with the pool is great for training. The indoor field facility would be such a great thing for the Beaumont Soccer Association. It seems that every other community of our size has this type of facility. Even hockey players need a place to run and train. Ask Whitecourt how much they use and love their fieldhouse connected to the pool. It is just the natural choice to put a field house with the pool.</p>
9/8/2015 23:24		<p>I support expansion at the Aquafit location. Fieldhouse option should be considered as the town has ZERO similar facilities. This could be utilized for a wide range of activities. Although ice expansion would also be nice, we DO</p>
9/9/2015 9:35		<p>the comment that 35 rinks within 35 kms is totally off base. None of the edmonton rinks are available to rent to non-edmonton groups (only a last option). Many of the other rinks also do not have rent to us first. The</p>
9/9/2015 19:58	Alberta	<p>Field house makes more sense to me, hockey is getting expensive, and some parents cannot afford it, at least a sports field opens doors to the lower middle class and sports such as indoor socce can be beneficial to the community's health. The LUB talks about</p>

9/11/2015 17:23	Alberta	It's a great idea and exactly what the community of Beaumont needs. Again and again we hear how fast Beaumont is growing and to keep up with this growth the town needs a vision to accommodate the rising needs of our community. All your charts indicate that people will use the facility. I understand money is and can be an issue with these types of facilities, but as you see with other nearby facilities they are being used to its almost full capacity. I honestly think that "common sense" is the vision our community needs. It's growing fast, it's a young community, and we need this type of facility to engage our growing population. Some comments were detailed to say 'IF' our community grows to a certain population then plans are to be set in place. Why wait, it's common sense that Beaumont will continue to grow.
9/12/2015 13:40	Alberta	Our family would like to see a field house adjacent to the aquafit centre instead of another ice rink
9/13/2015 11:06		Where would a new dog park be situated if you build a new arena?
9/16/2015 14:51	Alberta	We are in desperate need of additional ice surfaces and you are losing Beaumont youth to their surrounding areas because of a lack of availability. The study failed to look at the number of Children in BAHA, BSC and ringette relative to the numbers of ice surfaces. Our ice availability is woefully inadequate when compared to surrounding areas.
9/16/2015 15:40	British Columbia	We really need, #1 new rink and #2 field facility.
9/16/2015 15:51	Alberta	Build a field house
9/16/2015 16:03		I don't understand why an arena is even being considered when the field house is obviously more desired by residents, would cost less and more groups/sports etc would be able to use it. It is a much more usable and desirable space. Please do not go ahead with an arena at the AquaFit. The field house is a much better option.
9/16/2015 16:07	Texas	DO IT
9/16/2015 16:08	British Columbia	We need more ice. I have 2 kids in ringette and 1 in hockey. Beaumont needs more than 2 sheets of ice

9/16/2015 17:26	Alberta	<p>Was extremely disappointed in this survey and the methodology of it. It considered exactly two options neither of which take into account a long term vision for recreation services in Beaumont. Of course if you ask will a resident use either of these they will answer yes. Putting a single ice rink on the property does not allow for the ability to expand easily later by installing sufficient services for multiple sheets which is what we need. Similarly the proposal to put an indoor field house together gives you a gymnasium style with roughly 3 playing surfaces for basket ball. Which leaves it unsuitable for an indoor soccer pitch with artificial turf. Which quite honestly is bad use of that space as well. The next comment would be why wasn't an expansion to the pool envisioned. We have what is becoming a high performance swimming program. Why not a 50M pool suitable for swimming, why not the addition of a dive tank, why not the addition of water play structures for little kids. Why not an expansion of the fitness facilities. Why not build some indoor courts for racket sports. This feasibility study on what was good for the site and a natural fit for the site it focused on community groups that are lobbying loudly for facilities. I would encourage council to not get roped into band aid solutions. A comprehensive facility that can be built and expanded in phases is what we need for indoor sports such as soccer, basketball etc. We also need a facility that will provide two ice surfaces that can be expanded to four. As well as make some provision for new outdoor fields. Lets not be short sighted. If you have further questions or wish to discuss this matter. John Stewart 780-293-5650</p>
9/16/2015 18:27		<p>I think its fantastic that the town of Beaumont is looking at adding possible recreational facilities. However adding a rink/field house to an existing structure or a stand alone within the town does not seem logical. I think Beaumont should look at utilizing county land just outside the towns borders. Being able to partner with Leduc County might also help in offsetting the cost of a new facility. If Beaumont wants to make this new facility proper they should look at expanding the idea already in place. My thoughts on a new facility would include two new rinks, a field house, pro shop, running track etc. similar to what other small communities have done in the past i.e. Leduc, Ardrossan. Please consider the fact the Town of Beaumont has shown an increase in population along with getting younger every year. Edmonton is slowly moving its borders closer and closer and Beaumont need to show that they are not a small fish in a big pond and entice more people to move to Beaumont. Regards.</p>

9/16/2015 19:03	Alberta	Do it properly, don't just piece it together and squeeze it in (as it appears to be the plan).
9/16/2015 19:21		What in the world are you waiting for!!! Should of built a multiplex in the beginning, don't let your community down 'build it they will come"
9/16/2015 20:50		Study is misleading. Sec 5.2 - you say 134hr/week/ice available. Reality is 101. Facility open for 19 hrs/day can accommodate 15 (ave. 1hr) ice slots plus floods. There is mandatory 5hr/week/ice maintenance work. Therefore 33hrs are UNUSABLE. This makes 68% of ice used Not 51%. 35hr/week/ice are during school hours. Tentative schedule likely doesn't include full use by schools, BHA, public skating, and daycare (most of which is 100% paid by tax payers and does not contribute to cost recovery on p25) nor additional use for single-use rentals, events and tournaments. Sec 5.3 in both cases, just because another facility is in close proximity does not make it available to Beaumont/led co. residents. Outside facilities are often full, not available to outside users by policy or charged a premium for use (acceptable but not feasible for full time use). Although I believe it would be very well used, I am NOT in favour of new ice at AF. It is not economically feasible. 1 rink would operate at an estimated 75% cost of 2 rinks, due to single use ice plant, Zamboni, and staffing resources required. Only 200 spectator seating, 1 rink, and lack of concession & limited meeting space, makes it not an ideal location for tournaments and events. We need more ice in Beaumont, but we need a space that will accommodate growth of the community. THIS IS NOT IT!
9/16/2015 21:11	Alberta	Anything indoor with a track
9/16/2015 22:46	Alberta	YMCA